UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/447,472	11/23/1999	JAMES B. ARMSTRONG	SEDN/049	3863
56015 7590 11/26/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			EXAMINER CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
*			11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/447,472	ARMSTRONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sumaiya A. Chowdhury	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,19 and 21-34 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-8, 19, 21-34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2623

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/2/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-8, 19, and 21-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno in view of DeKoning (6275898).

Art Unit: 2623

As for claim 1, Ueno discloses in an interactive information distribution system including a network of provider equipment (1002) and subscriber equipment (1008, 1009), apparatus comprising:

a plurality of head-ends (1001, 1005, 1006, fig. 10) coupled to subscriber equipment (STUs, 1010-1013) via an access network (1008), the head-ends coupled to each other via an inter-server network (1002), each of said the head-ends comprising: a server (1001, 1005, 1006) for distributing requested video assets to requesting subscriber equipment via the access network (col. 18, lines 21-30, lines 58-63);

However, Ueno fails to teach:

a storage having a primary storage partition for storing frequently requested video assets, and a secondary storage partition for storing infrequently requested video assets, the infrequently requested video assets being distributed amongst said the secondary partitions of the storage; and

a manager for managing migration of video assets, wherein the manager tracks asset request rates and threshold rates of respective video assets;

wherein the manager, in response to an infrequently requested video asset becoming frequently requested, selects ones of the storage to store the frequently requested video asset and transmits the frequently requested video asset to the selected ones of the storage devices for storage in associated primary storage partitions;

wherein the manager, in response to a frequently requested video asset becoming infrequently requested, selects one of the storage devices to store the

Art Unit: 2623

infrequently requested video asset and provides the infrequently requested video asset to the selected one of the head-ends for storage in an associated secondary storage partition.

In an analogous art, DeKoning teaches:

a storage (108) having a primary storage partition (RAID 1) for storing frequently requested video assets, and a secondary storage partition (RAID 3 or 5) for storing infrequently requested video assets being distributed amongst said the secondary partitions of the storage – (Storage devices 108 consists of separate partitions for frequently requested data (hot data) and infrequently requested data (cold data). The cold data is stored at each of the secondary partitions at the storage devices 108. – col. 8, line 64-col. 9, line 30, col. 10, lines 6-14, col. 6, lines 20-30); and

a manager for managing migration of video assets, wherein the manager tracks asset request rates and threshold rates of respective video assets (col. 11, lines 42-45, col. 10, lines 6-14, col. 4, lines 4-24, col. 9, lines 8-30);

wherein the manager, in response to an infrequently requested video asset becoming frequently requested, selects ones of the storage to store the frequently requested video asset and transmits the frequently requested video asset to the selected ones of the storage for storage in associated primary storage partitions— col. 8, line 64-col. 9, line 30;

wherein the manager, in response to a frequently requested video asset becoming infrequently requested, selects one of the storage to store the infrequently

Art Unit: 2623

requested video asset and provides the infrequently requested video asset to the selected one of the storage in an associated secondary storage partition—col. 8, line 64-col. 9, line 30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Ueno's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by DeKoning, for the advantage of configuring the storage device to match consumer demand.

5. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 19, 21-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno in view of DeKoning and Hokanson.

As for claim 2, DeKoning teaches determining when a partition is frequently or infrequently accessed but Ueno and DeKoning fail to explicitly teach: the manager identifies an infrequently requested video asset as becoming frequently requested when said the asset request rate crosses above said the threshold rate; and the manager identifies a frequently requested video asset as becoming infrequently requested when said the asset request rate crosses below said the threshold rate.

In an analogous art, Hokanson teaches:

the manager identifies an infrequently requested video asset as becoming frequently requested when said the asset request rate crosses above said the threshold rate; and the manager identifies a frequently requested video asset as becoming infrequently requested when said the asset request rate crosses below said the threshold rate.

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Ueno and DeKoning's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Hokanson, for the advantage of configuring the storage device to match consumer demand.

As for claim 3, DeKoning teaches in response to a request for a video asset received from requesting subscriber equipment, the manager controls distribution of the requested video asset from-one of the head-ends identified as storing the requested video asset to the requesting subscriber equipment (col. 10, lines 53-63).

As for claims 4 and 22, Ueno teaches thee manager comprises:

a content manager, for receiving the request for the video asset and determining whether the requested video asset is stored locally in the storage of that head-end (1005, col. 19, lines 37-43) at which the video asset request is received (local server 1005 and service control unit 1007 are a single unit; col. 21, lines 43-52) or stored remotely in the storage of a different head-end; a stream session manager, for directing the associated server to distribute streams of video assets to subscriber equipment requesting said the video assets; and a content session manager, for responding to video asset requests forwarded from managers of other ones of the head-ends (col. 19, lines 20-55).

Art Unit: 2623

As for claim 6, Ueno teaches wherein a content manager of a local head-end at which a video asset request is received, in response to determining that a requested video asset is stored locally, notifies the stream session manager to deliver the requested video asset to the local server for transmission by the local server to the requesting subscriber equipment via the access network (col. 19, lines 20-55).

As for claim 7, Ueno teaches wherein the content manager of a local head-end at which a video asset request is received, in response to determining that a requested video asset is stored remotely in the storage of a remote head-end, instructs the stream session manager of the local head-end to contact the content session manager of the remote head-end (The local server 1005 and service control unit 1007 are one combined unit – col. 21, lines 43-50. A user request is received at the service control unit 1007 which determines where the requested video is stored - col. 19, lines 20-50. If it is determined that the video is stored remotely at server 1001, the video is requested from there and transmitted to the user).

As for claim 8, Ueno teaches wherein the content session manager of the remote head-end identifies the requested video asset in the storage of the remote head-end, allocates bandwidth for transmitting the requested video asset, and, in response to a determination that the requested video asset is to be provided from the remote head-end to the requesting subscriber equipment via the local head-end, notifies the server of

Art Unit: 2623

the remote head-end to transmit the requested video asset to the local head-end using the inter-server network - col. 21, lines 43-50, col. 19, lines 20-50, col. 18, lines 21-57.

Claim 19 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Claim 19 additionally calls for the following which Ueno and DeKoning fail to teach:

determining an asset request rate for each of said the video assets stored in each head-end; comparing the determined asset request rates with respective threshold rates of each of the video assets;

In an analogous art, Hokanson teaches:

determining an asset request rate for each of said the video assets stored in each headend; comparing the determined asset request rates with respective threshold rates of each of the video assets – col. 11, lines 5-40;

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Ueno and DeKoning's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Hokanson, for the advantage of configuring the storage device to match consumer demand.

Claim 21 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim.

Art Unit: 2623

As for claims 23 and 27, Ueno discloses wherein the identified head-end is the local head-end (1005) coupled directly to the requesting subscriber equipment, the local head-end provides the requested video asset to the requesting subscriber equipment via the access network (1008) – col. 19, lines 20-50, col. 21, lines 43-53.

As for claims 24 and 28, Ueno discloses wherein, the identified head-end is one of the remote head-ends, the local head-end requests the requested video asset from the remote head-end and the remote head-end provides the requested video asset to the local head-end via an inter-server network (The local server 1005 and service control unit 1007 are one combined unit – col. 21, lines 43-50. A user request is received at the service control unit 1007 which determines where the requested video is stored - col. 19, lines 20-50. If it is determined that the video is stored remotely at server 1001, the video is requested from there and transmitted to the user).

Claim 25 contains the limitations of claims 1 and 4 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to those claims.

Claim 26 contains the limitations of claims 1, 23, and 24 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to those claims.

As for claim 29, Ueno discloses wherein the content session manager of the remote head-end identifies the requested video asset in the storage of the remote head-

end and allocates bandwidth for transmitting the requested video asset (When a user requests a VOD program, bandwidth is allocated. – col. 18, lines 21-57, col. 19, lines 20-56).

As for claim 30, Ueno teaches in response to a determination that the requested video asset is to be provided from the remote head-end to the requesting subscriber equipment via the local head-end, the content session manager of the remote head-end notifies the server of the remote head-end to transmit the requested video asset to the local head-end- (One the basis of the directions by the server resources management control unit 1003, a video is transmitted, via channels 1019 (connection between local head-end and STB) to STUs – col. 18, lines 20-35, col. 19, lines 20-50).

As for claim 31, Ueno teaches in response to a determination that the server of the local head-end is available to receive the requested video asset from the remote head-end, the server of the remote head-end streams the requested video asset to the local head-end over the inter-server network – Fig. 10, col. 19, lines 20-50, col. 21, lines 40-55, col. 18, lines 20-32.

As for claim 32, Ueno teaches wherein the server of the local head-end received the requested video asset from the server of the remote head-end, wherein the received video asset is stored in the storage (buffer) of the local head-end – col. 18, lines 21-57.

Art Unit: 2623

col. 19, lines 20-50.

As for claim 33, Ueno teaches in response to a determination that the requested video asset is to be provided directly from the remote head-end to the requesting subscriber equipment, the content session manager of the remote head-end requests the stream session manager of the remote head-end to allocate bandwidth for providing the requested video asset to the requesting subscriber equipment— col. 18, lines 21-57, col. 19, lines 20-50.

Page 11

As for claim 34, Ueno teaches wherein the stream session manager of the remote head-end notifies the server of the remote head-end to stream the requested video asset to the requesting subscriber equipment—col. 18, lines 21-57, col. 19, lines 20-50.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/447,472 Page 12

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC

ANDREW Y. KOENIG PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER